



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/021,701	12/07/2001	Gregory B. Tackett	AMPC 5017	2433
75	90 12/22/2004		EXAM	INER
Legal Office (AMSAM-L-G-I, Mr. Fred M. Bush) Us Army Aviation and Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000			JANKUS, ALMIS R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2671	
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/200	. 3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	(Amplicant/a)
	· · ·	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/021,701	TACKETT, GREGORY B.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Almis R Jankus	2671
Period for Reply	ours on the cover sheet with the c	orrospondonos dadress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7 and 9</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 8</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original origin	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/021,701

Art Unit: 2671

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-9 are presented for examination.
- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chenney et al.

With respect to claim 1, Chenney et al. teaches the claimed instantiating areas of the environment only when needed, at page 15 with the teaching of, "If we are to place large numbers of complex dynamic models in an environment, then we should compute state only for objects in view, and cull dynamics for objects that are out of view. This is the traditional approach in graphics: compute only what is important to the current view. Knowledge of what to cull is provided in VRML through the VisibilitySensor mechanism. In current implementations this culls to the view volume, but future implementations may include occlusion culling and other advanced techniques. If a world is designed as multiple files which are loaded in memory only as required then it must be possible to cull

Application/Control Number: 10/021,701

Art Unit: 2671

dynamics, because the script will not even be present in memory if the subsection of the world it animates is not visible".

The instant specification defines "instantiation" as "the computer generation of something that is then made available to a user", at page 4 lines 14-15. The teaching of Chenney et al. regarding loading files in memory only as required with dynamic models, and computing state only for objects in view, corresponds to instantiation.

The claimed allowing the instantiated areas to lapse when no longer needed corresponds to culling dynamics, which Chenney et al. teaches at page 16 section 1.1.

The claimed incorporating components into the environment utilizing pseudo-random selection from available data files is taught at Chenney et al. at page 17 section 2.3 and at pages 18-19 section 3.3. For long periods out of view, a viewer can no longer use information from a previous sighting to predict a new state, nor are they completely ignorant of the system's behaviour. To exploit this, Chenney et al. sample a new state from some statistical distribution over states. The sample is Independent of any previous state, but the distribution reflects the long term behaviour of the system generally referred to as the stationary distribution. The stationary distribution is the distribution indicating how much time a long running system spends in any region of the state space. To build the distribution, Chenney et al. begin with a large number of paths at random starting points and integrate them for a short period of time to eliminate startup transients. Sampling a new state from a distribution which is built from a large number of paths at random starting points is equivalent to the claimed utilizing pseudo-random selection. Although Chenney et al. teaches random starting points rather than pseudo-random, the term "random" is merely a shorthand convention used in computing, since computers are not able to generate truly random values, but only pseudo-random values.

Claim 2 depends from claim 1 and further requires the step of investing



Art Unit: 2671

components with nested fidelity. This corresponds to the teaching of causality at Chenney et al., page 16, in that certain relationships must be maintained if an object is out of view for a time and then comes back into view at a later time.

Claim 3 depends from claim 2 and further requires the step of enabling users and forces to interact with environmental components and other users and forces. Chenney et al. Teaches this at page 24 as interaction of culling with multi-user environments such as the VRML community.

Claim 4 depends from claim 3 and further requires the step of reinstantiating the lapsed areas identical to the area's initial instantiation. Chenney et al. Teaches this at page 16 at section 2.1 and at figure 2. Since viewers can make accurate predictions for short periods out of view, the most accurate model of the system available must be used to ensure consistency when objects come back into view.

Claim 6 depends from claim 1 and further requires the step of enabling users and forces to interact with environmental components and other users and forces. Chenney et al. Teaches this at page 24 as interaction of culling with multi-user environments such as the VRML community.

Claim 7 depends from claim 6 and further requires the step of reinstantiating the lapsed areas identical to the area's initial instantiation. Chenney et al. Teaches this at page 16 at section 2.1 and at figure 2. Since viewers can make accurate predictions for short periods out of view, the most accurate model of the system available must be used to ensure consistency.

Claim 9 depends from claim 1 and further requires the step of reinstantiating the lapsed areas identical to the area's initial instantiation. Chenney et al. Teaches this at page 16 at section 2.1 and at figure 2. Since viewers can make accurate predictions for short periods out of view, the most accurate model of the system available must be

used to ensure consistency.

- 4. Claims 5 and 8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim must be in the alternative form. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Almis R Jankus whose telephone number is 703-305-9795. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman can be reached on 703-305-9798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALMIS R. JANKUS RIMARY EXAMINER

ΑJ